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ABSTRACT

Based on a hyperbolic traveltime approximation which depends on three kinematic attributes, the Common-Reflection-Surface (CRS) stacking method was developed to simulate zero-offset (ZO) sections. Also, following this new concept of seismic imaging, it was introduced a method to simulate common-offset (CO) sections from multicoverage data by using a hyperbolic paraxial traveltime approximation in the vicinity of a reflected central ray with finite-offset (FO). This last traveltime approximation depends on five kinematic attributes. In this work, based on this five-parameters-traveltime approximation, we obtain a new traveltime approximation for diffraction events, reducing the original formula to four parameters. We compare both traveltime approximations (reflection and diffraction events) with true traveltimes for a synthetic model. Based on the traveltime approximation for diffractions, we also present an algorithm to simulate CO sections from multicoverage data using global and local optimization methods.

INTRODUCTION

Various macro-velocity model independent methods have been introduced to simulate zero-offset (ZO) sections from multicoverage dataset. These methods are the Multifocusing (e.g. Gelchinsky and Keydar (1999), Gelchinsky et al. (1999a), Gelchinsky et al. (1999b), Landa et al. (1999)), Polystack (e.g. De Bazeille (1988)) and the Common-Reflection-Surface (CRS) (e.g. Müller (1999); Jäger et al. (2001), Garabito et al. (2001)). The CRS method uses the hyperbolic paraxial traveltime approximation in the vicinity of a ZO central ray. This formula depends on three parameters that are determined from multicoverage seismic data. The CRS method has provided high-resolution results when compared to conventional stacking method (NMO/DMO stack). These techniques have been used to stack P-P reflection events in 2-D pre-stack multicoverage data and to simulate ZO sections. To handle also converted waves in the frame of the CRS stack, the ZO CRS stack has been generalized to simulate CO sections (Zhang et al., 2001). The FO CRS stacking operator is constituted by five parameters, which have to be searched-for in a coherence-based, data-driven way (e.g. Zhang et al. (2001), Bergler et al. (2001c), Zhang et al. (2002)). The FO CRS stack has demonstrated the applicability not only P-P or S-S reflections, but also to seismic multicoverage data containing converted reflections, where the emergence angle information provided the FO CRS stack can be used to reliable separate P-P from P-S reflections. The in-line geometrical spreading factor can, for instance, be computed from these attributes, which is of help for Amplitude-versus-Offset (AVO) analysis (Bergler et al., 2001b). The FO CRS stack parameters may be used to determine in a subsequent traveltime inversion the P-wave velocity and/or S-wave velocity of a layered earth model (Bergler et al., 2001a). Bergler et al. (2002) demonstrated on a synthetic dataset that the FO CRS stack can be an alternative pre-stack stacking tool in complex situations such as subsalt imaging. The FO CRS stack is able to produce interpretable CO sections where ZO simulation methods suffer from bad illumination of target reflectors.
by small-offset reflections. Chira-Oliva et al. (2003) investigated the sensibility of the FO CRS stacking operator with respect to the kinematic data-derived attributes. They analyzed the first derivative of this operator with respect to each one of the searched-for parameters and described the behavior of the FO CRS stacking surface.

Boelsen and Mann (2004) discussed that the conventional FO CRS stacking operator can handle Ocean-Bottom-seismic (OBS) acquisition geometries by using a synthetic model. For this model, they compare the model-and data-derived wavefield attributes and show that the FO CRS stack provides accurate emergence angles and good results for the wavefront curvatures, too. They also present a new approach in order to process multi-component data and converted waves. This approach is able to distinguish between PP and PS reflections by combining operator shape and orientation with polarization information and provides stacked sections and kinematic wavefield attribute sections for both wave types.

Boelsen (2004) presented new hyperbolic traveltime approximations for the FO CRS stack to handle top-surface topography. He considered two types of topography: rugged and smooth. The formula for a rugged topography can be used to derive a stacking operator that is in principle to handle a vertical seismic profile (VSP) acquisition geometry as well as reverse VSP and cross-well seismic (e.g. Boelsen and Mann (2005b), Boelsen and Mann (2005a)). He also proposed an approach to perform redatuming of the FO CRS stacking section. He show the application of the FO CRS stack and the redatuming algorithm to a synthetic file (VSP) acquisition geometry as well as reverse VSP and cross-well seismic (e.g. Boelsen and Mann (2004) discussed that the conventional FO CRS stacking operator can handle Ocean-Bottom-seismic (OBS) acquisition geometries by using a synthetic model. For this model, they compare the model-and data-derived wavefield attributes and show that the FO CRS stack provides accurate emergence angles and good results for the wavefront curvatures, too. They also present a new approach in order to process multi-component data and converted waves. This approach is able to distinguish between PP and PS reflections by combining operator shape and orientation with polarization information and provides stacked sections and kinematic wavefield attribute sections for both wave types.

Boelsen (2004) presented new hyperbolic traveltime approximations for the FO CRS stack to handle top-surface topography. He considered two types of topography: rugged and smooth. The formula for a rugged topography can be used to derive a stacking operator that is in principle to handle a vertical seismic profile (VSP) acquisition geometry as well as reverse VSP and cross-well seismic (e.g. Boelsen and Mann (2005b), Boelsen and Mann (2005a)). He also proposed an approach to perform redatuming of the FO CRS stacking section. He show the application of the FO CRS stack and the redatuming algorithm to a synthetic file (VSP) acquisition geometry as well as reverse VSP and cross-well seismic (e.g. Boelsen and Mann (2004) discussed that the conventional FO CRS stacking operator can handle Ocean-Bottom-seismic (OBS) acquisition geometries by using a synthetic model. For this model, they compare the model-and data-derived wavefield attributes and show that the FO CRS stack provides accurate emergence angles and good results for the wavefront curvatures, too. They also present a new approach in order to process multi-component data and converted waves. This approach is able to distinguish between PP and PS reflections by combining operator shape and orientation with polarization information and provides stacked sections and kinematic wavefield attribute sections for both wave types.

THEORETICAL ASPECTS

HYPERBOLIC TRAVELTIME APPROXIMATION ASSOCIATED TO REFLECTED CENTRAL RAY

Following Bortfeld (1989), Zhang et al. (2001) developed a 2-D hyperbolic traveltime approximation for paraxial rays in the vicinity of a central ray, considering a finite-offset (FO) between sources and receivers. Therefore, we consider the situation in which a central ray starts at \( S \), reflects at \( R \) on a reflector in subsurface, and emerges at the surface in \( G \). The traveltime of paraxial rays that started at \( S \) and emerged at \( G \) (Figure 1) are obtained by

\[
t^2(\Delta x_m, \Delta h) = \left[ t_0 + \left( \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} + \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right) \Delta x_m + \left( \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} - \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right) \Delta h \right]^2
+ t_0 \left[ \left( 4 K_1 - 3 K_3 \right) \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} - K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta x_m^2
+ t_0 \left[ K_3 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} - K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta h^2
+ 2 t_0 \left[ K_3 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} + K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta x_m \Delta h
\]

where \( t_0 \) is the traveltime along the central ray, \( \beta_G \) and \( \beta_S \) are the emergence angles of the central ray in the position of the source \( S \) and the receiver \( G \), respectively. The dislocations \( \Delta x_m = x_m - x_0 \) and \( \Delta h = h - h_0 \) correspond to the midpoint and half-offset, respectively, where \( x_0 = (x_G + x_S)/2 \) is the midpoint and \( h_0 = (\pi_G - \pi_S)/2 \) is the half-offset of the central ray. The coordinates of the sources and receivers are denoted by \( x_S \) and \( x_G \). The midpoint \( x_m = (\pi_G + \pi_S)/2 \) and half-offset \( h = (\pi_G - \pi_S)/2 \) are the coordinates of an arbitrary paraxial ray with finite-offset, where the coordinates of the source and receiver are denoted by \( \pi_S \) and \( \pi_G \), respectively. The wave velocity at the source \( S \) and receiver \( G \) are
Figure 1: 2-D model of three homogeneous layers separated by curved and smooth interfaces, where \( x_S \) and \( x_G \) are the coordinates of the source and receiver of the central ray (green line), with \( x_0 \) and \( h_0 \) denoting the midpoint and half-offset coordinates of this ray. \( \tau_S \) and \( \tau_G \) denote the coordinates of the source and receiver of a paraxial ray (red line). \( x_m \) and \( h \) denote the midpoint and half-offset coordinates of this ray. The angles \( \beta_S \) and \( \beta_G \) denote the emergence angles of the central ray at the source and receiver with respect to the normal of the measurement surface.

denoted by \( v_S \) and \( v_G \), respectively. In this work, we consider the near surface velocity \( v_S = v_G \) as constant. The quantities, \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( K_3 \) are wavefront curvatures associated to the central ray (Figure 2a,b), computed at the respective emergence points. This expression (1) is called FO CRS stacking operator.

The wavefront curvatures \( (K_1,K_2,K_3) \) are associated to a real common-shot (CS) experiment and a hypothetical common-midpoint (CMP) experiment, respectively. In both experiments, the positions of the source and receiver coincide with the initial and final positions of the central ray. As shown in Figure 2a, in the CS experiment a source generates a wave in \( S \) that propagates downwards along the central ray, reflects on the second reflector, and propagates towards the surface, where it is measured at \( G \) the emerging wavefront curvature \( K_1 \). The propagation associated with the central ray for different instants of time is depicted in Figure 2a. Figure 2b illustrates an hypothetical CMP experiment, where the propagation of the wave associated to the central ray is depicted at different instants of time. In this experiment, the wavefront starts in \( S \) with curvature \( K_2 \), propagates downwards along the central ray, reflects on the second interface and emerges at \( G \) with curvature \( K_3 \). Curvature \( K_2 \) has a negative signal according to the convention of Hubral and Krey (1980), which states when a wavefront is in front of its tangent plane, with respect to the direction of propagation.

Considering a given velocity model, curvatures \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( K_3 \), as well as the angles \( \beta_S \) and \( \beta_G \) associated to a central ray, can be calculated by forward modeling, using a ray-tracing program (Cerveny and Psensik, 1988) and applying the transmission and reflection laws of wavefronts, as shown in Hubral and Krey (1980). To represent the CRS stacking operators associated to a FO central ray, we consider a synthetic model (lower part of Figure 3) constituted of three separated by curved and smooth interfaces with velocities \( v_s = v_G = v_0 = 1500 m/s \), and \( v_3 = 3700 m/s \), respectively. By using the ray-tracing algorithm, the traveltimes of primary reflections for different CO (equation 1) are computed. The blue curves represent the CO traveltimes of primary reflections associated to the second reflector (Figures 3 and 4). For a central ray with half-offset \( h_0 = 500 m \) and midpoint \( x_0 = 2500 m \) (red lines, lower part of Figure 3), the parameters \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( K_3 \) are calculated by forward modeling. Then, associated to this central ray in the upper part of Figure 3, the red curves represent the CRS operator obtained by expression (1).

The FO CRS stacking operator defined by formula (1) allows to simulate CO sections from multi-coverage data. As illustrated in Figure 3, for each sampling point \( P_0(x_0,h_0,t_0) \) in the FO section to be
**Figure 2:** 2-D model of three homogeneous layers separated by curved and smooth interfaces: (a) Propagation of the wavefront of the CS experiment at different instants of time, (b) Propagation of hypothetical wavefronts of a CMP experiment at different instants of time.

![Figure 2: 2-D model of three homogeneous layers separated by curved and smooth interfaces.](image)

**Figure 3:** Lower part (front): 2D media with three homogeneous layers separated by homogeneous smooth interfaces and a finite-offset central ray, where \( x_0 \) is the midpoint, \( h_0 \) is the half-offset. Upper part: CO traveltime curves (blue color) related to primary reflections of the second interface, having the CRS stacking operator (red color) associated to point \( P_0 \).

![Figure 3: Lower part (front): 2D media with three homogeneous layers separated by homogeneous smooth interfaces.](image)
simulated there exists a stacking surface defined by five parameters. The events contained in this surface will be summed and the result is assigned to the given point $P_0$.

**HYPERBOLIC TRAVELTIME APPROXIMATION ASSOCIATED TO DIFFRACTED CENTRAL RAY**

The hyperbolic traveltime approximation (1) considers a reflected central ray and traveltimes of paraxial rays in the vicinity of this central ray, which are also considered as being primary reflections. To consider the central ray as a diffracted ray, a new interpretation in the propagation of the wavefronts associated to the CS and CMP experiments, previously described, must be done. When a point $R$ in subsurface (Figures 2a,b) is considered as a diffraction point, the Huygens Principle states that this point becomes a new source of wavefronts as soon as an incidence of wavefronts had just occurred. Under this assumption, the interpretation of the wave associated to a real CS experiment is the following: the wave generated by a point source $S$ (origin of the central ray) propagates downwards and is diffracted at the located point in $R$. This diffraction point generates a new wavefront that propagates upwards along its central ray, emerging at $G$. In the CMP experiment, the wavefront propagation does not differs from the previous situation, but it must be considered now that $R$ is a diffraction point. Therefore, the wavefront curvature $K_1$ emerging in $G$ must have the same curvature of wavefront $K_3$, also emerging in $G$. Using the condition of diffraction, $K_1 = K_3$ in formula (1), to obtain

$$t^2(\Delta x_m, \Delta h) = \left[ t_0 + \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} + \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta x_m + \left[ \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} - \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta h^2$$(2)

Now the hyperbolic traveltime approximation (Equation 2) depends only on four parameters: $K_2, K_3, \beta_S$ and $\beta_G$.

By using the formula (2) we construct the stacking surface (Figure 4). Due to the fact that this operator (2) is associated to a diffracted central ray, then is called common-diffraction-surface (CDS). To distinguish the last operator from the present one, we shall denote it as FO CDS operator.

**SEISMIC CONFIGURATIONS**

In this section we present particular cases of formulas (1) and (2) for the following classical seismic configurations.

**CMP gather**

For this case, the paraxial source $S$ and receiver $G$ are located symmetrically with respect to the corresponding points $S$ and $G$, on the central ray. Considering that the midpoint is common to the central and paraxial ray, the CMP condition reads: $\Delta x_m = 0$. Substituting this condition into equation (1), to obtain the CMP configuration travelttime

$$t^2 (\Delta h) = \left[ t_0 + \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} - \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta h^2 + 2 t_0 \left[ \frac{K_3 \cos ^2 \beta_G}{v_G} - \frac{K_2 \cos ^2 \beta_G}{v_S} \right] \Delta x_m \Delta h$$

Substituting this same condition in the general equation (2) for a diffraction point, we get the same expression (3).
Figure 4: Lower part (front): 2D media with three homogeneous layers separated by curved and smooth interfaces and a FO central ray, where $x_0$ is the midpoint, $h_0$ is the half-offset. Upper part: CO traveltimes curves (blue color) related to primary reflections of the second interface with the CDS operator (green color), associate to $P_0$.

Figure 5: CMP section corresponding for the midpoint 2250 m. The red line corresponds to the traveltime calculated with equation (3) for the second reflector. For this configuration, the traveltime curve is the same for reflection and diffraction events.
Figure 6: CO section which offset is 1 km. The red line corresponds to the traveltimes calculated with equation (4) and the green line corresponds to the traveltime calculated with equation (5).

**Common-Offset (CO) gather**

In this configuration, the paraxial source $S$ and receiver $G$ are shifted by the same amount and the same direction with respect to the corresponding points $S$ and $G$, on the central ray. The CO condition reads: $\Delta h = 0$. This means that the sources-receiver pairs of the paraxial and central rays have the same half-offset.

The substitution of the CO condition into equation (1) gives

$$t^2(\Delta x_m) = t_0^2 + \left( \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} + \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right) \Delta x_m^2 + t_0 \left[ (4K_1 - 3K_3) \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} - K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta x_m^2$$

Substituting in equation (2) the CO condition, it reads:

$$t^2(\Delta x_m) = \left[ t_0 + 2 \left( \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} + \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \right) \Delta x_m \right]^2 + t_0 \left[ K_3 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} - K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta x_m^2$$

In Figure 6 the offset of the CO section is 1.0 km. The traveltimes calculated by expressions (4) and (5) are represented by the red and green lines, respectively.

**Common-Shot (CS) gather**

For this configuration, the paraxial source always coincides with the source of the central ray. The CS condition reads: $\Delta x_m = \Delta h$. The substitution of this condition into equation (1) gives

$$t^2(\Delta h) = \left[ t_0 + 2 \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} \Delta h \right]^2 + 4t_0 \left[ K_3 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} \right] \Delta h^2$$

Applying the CS condition into equation (2), it reads:

$$t^2(\Delta h) = \left[ t_0 + 2 \frac{\sin \beta_G}{v_G} \Delta h \right]^2 + 4t_0 \left[ K_3 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} \right] \Delta h^2$$
In Figure 7 it is shown a CS section where the position of the source is 1.75 km. The minimum offset is 0.0 m and maximum offset is 2.0 km. The offset of the central ray is 1.0 km. The positions of the source and receiver of this central ray are 1.75 and 2.75 km, respectively.

**Common-receiver (CR) gather**

For this gather, the paraxial receiver always coincides with the receiver of the central ray. The CR condition reads: \( \Delta x_m = -\Delta h \). The substitution of this condition into equation (1) gives

\[
t^2(\Delta h) = \left[ t_0 - 2 \left( \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \Delta h \right) \right]^2 + 4 \ t_0 \left[ K_1 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} - K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} - K_3 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_G}{v_G} \right] \Delta h^2 .
\]  

(8)

By considering this condition for a diffracted central ray into equation (2), we obtain

\[
t^2(\Delta h) = \left[ t_0 - 2 \left( \frac{\sin \beta_S}{v_S} \Delta h \right) \right]^2 - 4 \ t_0 \left[ K_2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_S}{v_S} \right] \Delta h^2
\]  

(9)

In Figure 8 is shown the CR section. The fixed receiver is located at 2.75 km. The first source is located at 0.75 km, and the rest of the sources are located for both sides of the first source. The travel times calculated by expressions (8) e (9) are represented by red and green lines, respectively.

**SENSIBILITY ANALYSIS OF THE FO CRS STACKING OPERATOR**

To define the priority in the parameters search, is necessary investigate the sensibility of the FO CRS stacking operator with respect to the kinematic data-derived attributes. By analyzing the first derivative of the FO CRS travel times with respect to each one of the searched-for parameters and perturbing each parameter, we describe the behavior of the FO CRS stacking surface.
Figure 8: CR section. The red line corresponds to the traveltimes calculated with equation (8) and green lines correspond the traveltimes calculated with equation (9).

First derivative analysis of the wavefront attributes

The first derivative of the FO CRS operator with respect to the parameters to let us to analyze the sensibility of this approximation. The derivatives were done by using the FO CRS and CDS CRS stacking operators with respect the attributes: $\beta_S, \beta_G, K_1, K_2, K_3$.

These derivatives, are shown in the Figures 10 and 9. We remind that in this analysis we considered a fixed point $P_0$. We use different half-offsets, as instance $h = 0.0km$, $h = 0.25km$, $h = 0.5km$, $h = 0.75km$, $h = 1.0km$. To difference the curves of these derivatives, we use different colors: blue for $h = 0.0km$, magenta for $h = 0.25km$, cyan for $h = 0.5km$, green for $h = 0.75km$ and red for $h = 1km$.

In Figure 10, we observe in the vicinity of the central ray $x_0$, the traveltime derivatives with respect parameters $K_2$ and $K_3$ are sensitives with respect $\Delta x_m$ and $\Delta h$, while that the parameter $K_1$ is less sensitive to the changes of $\Delta x_m$ and $\Delta h$. In Figure 9 for variations along the coordinates $\Delta x_m$ and $\Delta h$, shows the derivatives higher sensitives with respect the parameters $\beta_S$ and $\beta_G$.

For the central point analyzed the traveltime function is very sensitive to the $\beta_S$ and $\beta_G$, followed of the parameters $K_3$ and $K_2$. This is an indicator that the parameters can be very well determined by search processes (optimization). In the case of the parameters $K_2$ and $K_3$, this operator shows a higher degree of difficulty to determinate them. For the parameter $K_1$, the FO CRS traveltime showed less sensibility. In this case, this parameter will be determined with difficulty and minor precision.

CO-CRS STACKING STRATEGY

For the simulation of CO sections with the CO-CRS stacking method, is needed to determine five parameters $\beta_S, \beta_G, K_1, K_2, K_3$ or wavefront attributes from multicoverage data. Here, we use the FO CRS operator associated to a certain sampling point $P_0$ in the CO section to determine from multicoverage data these parameters.

The crucial part of this procedure is the determination of the stacking operator from seismic data to the optimization process using as an objective function the coherence (semblance) section. In this work, in-
Figure 9: Sensibility through FO CRS traveltime derivative with respect $B_S$ (top) and $B_G$ (middle) and $K_3$ (bottom) for different offsets, $h = 0.0km$ (blue curve), $h = 0.25km$ (magenta curve), $h = 0.5km$ (cyan curve), $h = 0.75km$ (green curve) and $h = 1.0km$ (red curve).

Figure 10: Sensibility through FO CRS traveltime derivative with respect $K_1$ (top), $K_2$ (middle) and $K_3$ (bottom) for different offsets, $h = 0.0km$ (blue curve), $h = 0.25km$ (magenta curve), $h = 0.5km$ (cyan curve), $h = 0.75km$ (green curve) and $h = 1.0km$ (red curve).
Figure 11: Lower part (front): 2D media with three homogeneous layers separated by curved and smooth interfaces and a FO central ray, where $x_0$ is the midpoint, $h_0$ is the half-offset. Upper part: CO traveltimes curves (blue color) related to primary reflections of the second interface with the CDS operator when $K_2 = 0$ (green color), associate to $P_0$.

Instead of using CMP, CO and CS sections to determine the stacking parameters (Zhang et al., 2001), they are determined using operators or stacking surfaces defined in the domain $(\Delta x_m, \Delta h)$, which are defined by three, four and five parameters. Now, we consider the CO-CRS algorithm proposed below, where the VeryFastSimulatedAnnealing (VFSA) global optimization algorithm for the initial determination of these parameters is applied. To refine these parameters, we use the Quasi-Newton (QN) local optimization algorithm. This strategy is summarized in Figure 12.

First step: Three-dimensional search $(\beta_S, \beta_G, K_1)$

From multicoverage data, using the VFSA algorithm, three parameters $(\beta_S, \beta_G, K_1)$ are determined by applying a tri-dimensional search. To obtain and to use the stacking operator defined by three parameters, it is introduced the condition $K_2 = 0$ (Figure 11) into equation (2). This condition is applied due to the fact of that CO-CRS stacking operator has not shown sensitivity for an sample interval of variation of this parameter.

Second step: Uni-dimensional search $(K_2)$

Using the parameters determined in the previous step from multicoverage data, also using the VFSA global optimization algorithm, the parameter $K_2$ is determined for each sampling point of the CO section. In this step, we use the stacking operator defined by equation (2).

Third step: Uni-dimensional search $(K_3)$

Using the four parameters determined in the previous step from multicoverage data and using the VFSA global optimization algorithm, the parameter $K_3$ is determined for each sampling point of the CO section. In this step, the stacking operator is defined by equation (1).
Fourth step: Refinement of the five parameters \((\beta_S, \beta_G, K_1, K_2, K_3)\)

To determine the best values of the five parameters simultaneously \((\beta_S, \beta_G, K_1, K_2, K_3)\) and consequently, the best CO-CRS stacking operator, the \(QN\) local optimization algorithm is applied. As an initial approximation for the local search in the multicoverage data, the five resulting parameters of the two previous steps are used. In this step, the objective function (semblance) uses the general formula (1) to obtain the FO CRS stacking operators in the optimization process. The five parameters derived in this step are used to simulate the final CO section.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

From the traveltime formula of reflected paraxial rays in the vicinity of a central ray with finite-offset, it was derived a particular traveltime formula for paraxial rays in the vicinity of a central ray associated to a diffraction point in depth. This new approximation depends on four parameters, thus reducing the original formula in one parameter. Also the stacking operators (equations 1 and 2) have been compared, where it was verified that this new formula (equation 2) defines a new operator that can be used to simulate CO sections by means of the CRS stacking technique. Comparisons of reflections and diffractions traveltimes have also been made, following the main seismic configurations (CMP, CR, CS and CO), where it was also verified that the paraxial rays traveltimes associated to a diffracted central ray have a good fitting with respect to the reflected events. This operator (equation 2) is an alternative to simulate CO sections. In this work it is shown that the traveltime formulas associated to a diffraction point in depth can also be used to identify and extract diffractions, where it can be used the CO, CS and CR configurations. Finally, we propose a new strategy to estimate the five parameters in the FO CRS stacking method. The first three steps use the \(SA\) global optimization method. The fourth step uses the \(QN\) local optimization algorithm.
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Figure 12: Flowchart of the CO-CRS stacking algorithm.


